IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA

Angela O'Neal,	: Civil Action No.:
Plaintiff, v.	: : :
NCO Financial Systems, Inc.,	: : COMPLAINT : JURY TRIAL DEMANDEI
Defendant.	: : :

For this Complaint, the Plaintiff, Angela O'Neal, by undersigned counsel, states as follows:

JURISDICTION

- 1. This action arises out of Defendant's repeated violations of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1692, et seq. ("FDCPA"), N.C. Gen.Stat. § 75-50 et seq., and the invasions of Plaintiff's personal privacy by the Defendant and its agents in their illegal efforts to collect a consumer debt.
 - 2. Supplemental jurisdiction exists pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367.
- 3. Venue is proper in this District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b), in that the Defendant transacts business in this District and a substantial portion of the acts giving rise to this action occurred in this District.

PARTIES

- 4. The Plaintiff, Angela O'Neal ("Plaintiff"), is an adult individual residing in Wendell, North Carolina, and is a "consumer" as the term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(3).
- 5. Defendant NCO Financial Systems, Inc. ("NCO"), is a Pennsylvania business entity with an address of 507 Prudential Road, Horsham, Pennsylvania 19044, operating as a collection agency, and is a "debt collector" as the term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6).

ALLEGATIONS APPLICABLE TO ALL COUNTS

A. The Debt

- 6. The Plaintiff incurred a financial obligation (the "Debt") to an original creditor (the "Creditor").
- 7. The Debt arose from services provided by the Creditor which were primarily for family, personal or household purposes and which meets the definition of a "debt" under 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(5).
- 8. The Debt was purchased, assigned or transferred to NCO for collection, or NCO was employed by the Creditor to collect the Debt.
- 9. The Defendant attempted to collect the Debt and, as such, engaged in "communications" as defined in 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(2).

B. NCO Engages in Harassment and Abusive Tactics

10. NCO began placing calls to Plaintiff's residential telephone in early March 2010, in an attempt to collect the Debt.

- 11. Plaintiff informed NCO that she filed for bankruptcy and that the Debt was included in the bankruptcy.
- 12. Plaintiff verbally requested NCO to cease placing calls to her in an attempt to collect the Debt.
- 13. Plaintiff provided NCO with her bankruptcy attorney's contact information and advised NCO to contact her attorney if it had any additional questions about the Debt.
- 14. NCO continues to place as many as three (3) calls a day to Plaintiff in an attempt to collect the Debt despite knowing that the Debt has been included in Plaintiff's bankruptcy.
- 15. NCO sent written correspondence to Plaintiff in an attempt to collect the Debt after notification that Plaintiff was represented by counsel with regard to the Debt.
 - 16. NCO has failed to properly identify itself when placing calls to Plaintiff.

C. Plaintiff Suffered Actual Damages

- 17. The Plaintiff has suffered and continues to suffer actual damages as a result of the Defendant's unlawful conduct.
- 18. As a direct consequence of the Defendant's acts, practices and conduct, the Plaintiff suffered and continues to suffer from anger, emotional distress, and frustration.

COUNT I VIOLATIONS OF THE FDCPA 15 U.S.C. § 1692, et seq.

- 19. The Plaintiff incorporates by reference all of the above paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully stated herein.
- 20. The Defendant's conduct violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692c(a)(1) in that Defendant contacted the Plaintiff at a place and during a time known to be inconvenient for the Plaintiff.

- 21. The Defendant's conduct violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692c(a)(2) in that Defendant contacted the Plaintiff after having knowledge that the Plaintiff was represented by an attorney.
- 22. The Defendant's conduct violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692d(5) in that Defendant caused a phone to ring repeatedly and engaged the Plaintiff in telephone conversations, with the intent to annoy and harass.
- 23. The Defendant's conduct violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692d(6) in that Defendant placed calls to the Plaintiff without disclosing the identity of the debt collection agency.
- 24. The foregoing acts and omissions of the Defendant constitute numerous and multiple violations of the FDCPA, including every one of the above-cited provisions.
 - 25. The Plaintiff is entitled to damages as a result of Defendant's violations.

<u>COUNT II</u> <u>VIOLATION OF THE NORTH CAROLINA DEBT COLLECTION ACT,</u> <u>N.C. Gen.Stat. § 75-50, et seq.</u>

- 26. The Plaintiff incorporates by reference all of the above paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully stated herein.
 - 27. The Plaintiff is a "consumer" as the term is defined by N.C. Gen.Stat. § 75-50(1).
- 28. The Plaintiff incurred a "debt" as the term is defined by N.C. Gen.Stat. § 75-50(2).
- 29. The Defendant is a "debt collector" as the term is defined by N.C. Gen.Stat. § 75-50(3).
- 30. The Defendant's conduct violated N.C. Gen.Stat. § 75-52(3) in that Defendant caused a telephone to ring or engaged the Plaintiff in telephone conversation with such frequency as to be unreasonable or to constitute a harassment to the person under the circumstances.

- 31. The Defendant's conduct violated N.C. Gen.Stat. § 75-54(4) in that Defendant falsely represented the character, extent, or amount of a debt against the Plaintiff.
- 32. The Defendant's conduct violated N.C. Gen.Stat. § 75-55(3) in that Defendant communicated with the Plaintiff whenever the Defendant have been notified by the Plaintiff's attorney that he represents said Plaintiff.
- 33. The foregoing acts and omissions of the Defendant constitute numerous and multiple violations of the North Carolina Debt Collection Act, including every one of the above-cited provisions.
 - 34. The Plaintiff is entitled to damages as a result of Defendant's violations.

<u>COUNT III</u> <u>VIOLATION OF THE NORTH CAROLINA UNFAIR TRADE PRACTICES ACT,</u> N.C. Gen.Stat. § 75-1.1, et seq.

- 35. The Plaintiff incorporates by reference all of the above paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully stated herein.
- 36. The Defendant's unfair or deceptive acts to collect the Debt occurred in commerce, in violation of N.C. Gen.Stat. § 75-1.1.
- 37. The Plaintiff suffered mental anguish and other damages in an amount to be proven at trial.
- 38. Defendant's failure to comply with these provisions constitutes an unfair or deceptive act under N.C. Gen.Stat. § 75-1.1 and, as such, the Plaintiff is entitled to damages plus reasonable attorney's fees.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, the Plaintiff prays that judgment be entered against Defendant:

- 1. Actual damages pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(1) against Defendant;
- Statutory damages of \$1,000.00 for each violation pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §1692k(a)(2)(A) against Defendant;
- 3. Costs of litigation and reasonable attorney's fees pursuant to 15 U.S.C.§ 1692k(a)(3) against Defendant;
- Double or treble damages plus reasonable attorney's fees pursuant to N.C.G.S. § 75.16.1;
- 5. Actual damages from Defendant for the all damages including emotional distress suffered as a result of the intentional, reckless, and/or negligent FDCPA violations and intentional, reckless, and/or negligent invasions of privacy in an amount to be determined at trial for the Plaintiff; and
- 6. Such other and further relief as may be just and proper.

TRIAL BY JURY DEMANDED ON ALL COUNTS

Dated: June 6, 2011

Respectfully submitted,

By_/s/ Stacie Watson

Stacie Watson, Esq. (Bar No.: 23890) Law Office of Stacie Watson. P.O. Box 1412

Apex, North Carolina 27502

Telephone: (919) 522-6128 Facsimile: (919) 439-5308

Email: swatsonattorney@bellsouth.net

Attorneys for Plaintiff

Of Counsel To:

LEMBERG & ASSOCIATES L.L.C. 1100 Summer Street, 3rd Floor Stamford, CT 06905

Telephone: (203) 653-2250 Facsimile: (888) 953-6237